

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
330 South Third Street, Suite 1010
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 464-6007
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

PETER T. SANTILLI,
Defendant.

Case No.: 2:16-cr-00046-GMN-PAL

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
SENTENCING**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between defendant, PETER T. SANTILLI, by and through his counsel, CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ., and the United States America, by its counsel, STEVEN MYHRE, that the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for January 11, 2018 at 8:00 a.m. be vacated and continued for at least 45 days or a time convenient to the court.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance.
3. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice.
4. For all the above- stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.

...

...

...

1 5. This is the first request for continuance.

2 DATED this 29th day of December, 2017

3 /s//: Chris T. Rasmussen

/s//: Steven Myhre

4 CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant

STEVEN MYHRE, ESQ.
United States Attorney

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
330 South Third Street, Suite 1010
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 464-6007
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PETER T. SANTILLI,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:16-cr-00046-GMN-PAL

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Denial of this request would result in a miscarriage of justice.
2. For all the above-stated reason, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date at least forty-five days.

...

...

...

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PETER T. SANTILLI,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:16-cr-00046-GMN-PAL

ORDER

Accordingly, IT IS SO ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for January 11, 2018 at the hour of 8:00 a.m., by vacated and continued to _____, 2018, at the hour of _____ a.m./p.m.

DATED this _____ day of December, 201_.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE